arXiv:1401.4839v5 [math.CV] 12 Feb 2015

THE THEORY OF PRIME ENDS AND SPATIAL MAPPINGS IV

DENIS KOVTONYUK AND VLADIMIR RYAZANOV

February 13, 2015

Abstract

It is given a canonical representation of prime ends in regular spatial domains and, on this basis, it is studied the boundary behavior of the so-called lower Q-homeomorphisms that are the natural generalization of the quasiconformal mappings. In particular, it is found a series of effective conditions on the function $Q(x)$ for a homeomorphic extension of the given mappings to the boundary by prime ends in domains with regular boundaries. The developed theory is applied, in particular, to mappings of the classes of Sobolev and Orlicz–Sobolev and also to finitely bi–Lipschitz mappings that a far–reaching extension of the well–known classes of isometric and quasiisometric mappings.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 30C65, 30C85,30D40, 31A15, 31A20, 31A25, 31B25. Secondary 37E30.

1 Introduction

The problem of the boundary behavior is one of the central topics of the theory of quasiconformal mappings and their generalizations. During the last years they intensively studied various classes of mappings with finite distortion in a natural way generalizing conformal, quasiconformal and quasiregular mappings, see many references in the monographs [12] and [31]. In this case, as it was earlier, the main geometric approach in the modern mapping theory is the method of moduli, see, e.g., the monographs [12], [31], [37], [45], [61], [62] and [64].

From the point of view of the theory of conformal mappings, it was unsatisfactory to consider the individual points of the boundary of a simply connected

domain as the primitive constituents of the boundary. Indeed, if correspondingly to the Riemann theorem such a domain is mapped conformally onto the unit disk, then the points of the unit circumference correspond to the so–called prime ends of the domain.

The term "prime end" originated from Caratheodory [5] who initiated the systematic study of the structure of the boundary of a simply connected domain. His approach was topological and dealt with concepts subdomains, crosscuts etc. that are defined with reference to the given domain. The problem arisen under his approach to show that prime ends are preserved under conformal mappings was just solved by one of Caratheodory's fundamental theorems.

Lindelöf [27] circumvented this difficulty by defining prime ends of a domain with reference to the conformal map of the unit disk onto the domain; namely in terms of the set of indetermination or cluster set. However, his method does not obviate an explicit analysis of the topological situation in the domain itself.

Two other schemes for the definition of prime ends deserve brief mention. Mazurkiewicz [34] introduced a metric $\rho_\pi(z_1, z_2)$ that is equivalent to the euclidean metric in a domain in the sense that $\rho_\pi(z_j, z_0) \to 0$ if and only if $|z_j - z_0| \to 0$ for any sequence $\{z_j\}$ of points of the domain. The boundary of the domain with respect to ρ_π, i.e. the complement of the domain with respect to its $\rho_\pi-$completion, is a space that can be identified with the set of prime ends of Caratheodory.

Finally, Ursell and Young [60] to introduce the prime ends of a domain have used the notion of an equivalence class of paths that converge to the boundary of the domain. For the history of the question, see also [1], [7] and [36] and further references therein.

Later on, we often use the notations I, $\bar{\rm I}$, $\mathbb{R}$, $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$, $\mathbb{R}^+$, $\overline{\mathbb{R}^+}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ for $[1, \infty)$, $[1, \infty]$, $(-\infty, \infty)$, $[-\infty, \infty]$, $[0, \infty)$, $[0, \infty]$ and $\mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}$, correspondingly, and D is a domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

In what follows, we use in $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ the **spherical (chordal) metric** $h(x, y) = |\pi(x) - \pi(y)|$ where π is the stereographic projection of $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ onto the sphere

$S^n(\frac{1}{2}e_{n+1}, \frac{1}{2})$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, i.e.

$$h(x,y)=\frac{|x-y|}{\sqrt{1+|x|^2}\sqrt{1+|y|^2}},\quad x\neq\infty\neq y,\qquad h(x,\infty)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|x|^2}}\ .$$

The quantity

$$h(E)=\sup_{x,y\in E}h(x,y)$$

is said to be **spherical (chordal) diameter** of a set $E\subset\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$.

Let ω be an open set in $\mathbb{R}^k$, $k=1,\ldots,n-1$. A (continuous) mapping $\sigma:\omega\to\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ is called a $k-$**dimensional surface** in $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$. An $(n-1)-$dimensional surface σ in $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ is called also a **surface**. A surface $\sigma:\omega\to D$ is called a **Jordan surface in** D if $\sigma(z_1)\neq\sigma(z_2)$ whenever $z_1\neq z_2$. Later on, we sometimes use σ to denote the whole image $\sigma(\omega)\subseteq\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ under the mapping σ, and $\overline{\sigma}$ instead of $\overline{\sigma(\omega)}$ in $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ and $\partial\sigma$ instead of $\overline{\sigma(\omega)}\setminus\sigma(\omega)$. A Jordan surface σ in D is called a **cut** of D if σ splits D, i.e. $D\setminus\sigma$ has more than one component, $\partial\sigma\cap D=\varnothing$ and $\partial\sigma\cap\partial D\neq\varnothing$.

A sequence $\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_m,\ldots$ of cross–cuts of D is called a **chain** if:

(i) $\overline{\sigma_i}\cap\overline{\sigma_j}=\varnothing$ for every $i\neq j$, $i,j=1,2,\ldots$;

(ii) σ_{m-1} and σ_{m+1} are contained in different components of $D\setminus\sigma_m$ for every $m>1$;

(iii) $\cap\, d_m=\varnothing$ where d_m is a component of $D\setminus\sigma_m$ containing σ_{m+1}.

Finally, we will call a chain of cross–cuts $\{\sigma_m\}$ **regular** if

(iv) $h(\sigma_m)\to 0$ as $m\to\infty$.

Correspondingly to the definition, a chain of cross–cuts $\{\sigma_m\}$ is determined by a chain of domains $d_m\subset D$ such that $\partial\, d_m\cap D\subseteq\sigma_m$ and $d_1\supset d_2\supset\ldots\supset d_m\supset\ldots$. Two chains of cross–cuts $\{\sigma_m\}$ and $\{\sigma'_k\}$ are called **equivalent** if, for every $m=1,2,\ldots$, the domain d_m contains all domains d'_k except its finite collection and, for every $k=1,2,\ldots$, the domain d'_k contains all domains d_m except its finite collection, too. An **end** K of the domain D is an equivalence class of chains of cross–cuts of D.

Let K be an end of a domain D in $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ and $\{\sigma_m\}$ and $\{\sigma'_m\}$ be two chains in K and d_m and d'_m be domains corresponding to σ_m and σ'_m, respectively. Then

$$\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \overline{d_m} \subseteq \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \overline{d'_m} \subset \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \overline{d_m}$$

and, thus,

$$\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \overline{d_m} = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \overline{d'_m}\,,$$

i.e. the set

$$I(K) = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \overline{d_m}$$

depends only on K but not on a choice of its chain of cross–cuts $\{\sigma_m\}$. The set $I(K)$ is called the **impression of the end** K. It is well–known that $I(K)$ is a continuum, i.e. a connected compact set, see, e.g., I(9.12) in [65]. Moreover, in view of the conditions (ii) and (iii), we obtain that

$$I(K) = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} (\partial d_m \cap \partial D) = \partial D \cap \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \partial d_m\,.$$

Thus, we come to the following conclusion.

Proposition 1.1. *For every end K of a domain D in $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$,*

$$I(K) \subseteq \partial D\,. \tag{1.1}$$

Following [36], we say that K is a **prime end** if K contains a chain of cross–cuts $\{\sigma_m\}$ such that

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} M(\Delta(C, \sigma_m; D)) = 0 \tag{1.2}$$

for a continuum C in D where $\Delta(C, \sigma_m; D)$ is the collection of all paths connecting the sets C and σ_m in D and M denotes its modulus, see the next section.

If an end K contains at least one regular chain, then K will be said to be **regular**. As it will easy follow from Lemma 3.1, every regular end is a prime end.

2 On lower and ring Q-homeomorphisms

The class of lower Q-homeomorphisms was introduced in the paper [21], see also the monograph [31], and was motivated by the ring definition of quasiconformal mappings of Gehring, see [9]. The theory of lower Q-homeomorphisms has found interesting applications to the theory of the Beltrami equations in the plane and to the theory of mappings of the classes of Sobolev and Orlich-Sobolev in the space, see, e.g., [18], [19], [24], [25], [26], [31] and [47].

Let ω be an open set in $\overline{\mathbb{R}^k}$, $k = 1, \ldots, n-1$. Recall that a (continuous) mapping $S : \omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is called a k-dimensional surface S in $\mathbb{R}^n$. The number of preimages

$$N(S, y) = \operatorname{card} S^{-1}(y) = \operatorname{card} \{x \in \omega : S(x) = y\}, \ y \in \mathbb{R}^n \tag{2.1}$$

is said to be a **multiplicity function** of the surface S. It is known that the multiplicity function is lower semicontinuous, i.e.,

$$N(S, y) \geqslant \liminf_{m \to \infty} N(S, y_m)$$

for every sequence $y_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $m = 1, 2, \ldots$, such that $y_m \to y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ as $m \to \infty$, see, e.g., [41], p. 160. Thus, the function $N(S, y)$ is Borel measurable and hence measurable with respect to every Hausdorff measure H^k, see, e.g., [55], p. 52.

Recall that a k-dimensional Hausdorff area in $\mathbb{R}^n$ (or simply **area**) associated with a surface $S : \omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is given by

$$\mathcal{A}_S(B) = \mathcal{A}^k_S(B) := \int\limits_B N(S, y)\, dH^k y \tag{2.2}$$

for every Borel set $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and, more generally, for an arbitrary set that is measurable with respect to H^k in $\mathbb{R}^n$, cf. 3.2.1 in [8] and 9.2 in [31].

If $\varrho : \mathbb{R}^n \to \overline{\mathbb{R}^+}$ is a Borel function, then its **integral over** S is defined by the equality

$$\int\limits_S \varrho\, d\mathcal{A} := \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varrho(y)\, N(S, y)\, dH^k y\,. \tag{2.3}$$

Given a family Γ of k-dimensional surfaces S, a Borel function $\varrho : \mathbb{R}^n \to [0,\infty]$ is called **admissible** for Γ, abbr. $\varrho \in \operatorname{adm}\Gamma$, if

$$\int\limits_S \varrho^k \, d\mathcal{A} \geqslant 1 \tag{2.4}$$

for every $S \in \Gamma$. The **modulus** of Γ is the quantity

$$M(\Gamma) = \inf_{\varrho\in\operatorname{adm}\Gamma} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varrho^n(x)\, dm(x)\,. \tag{2.5}$$

We also say that a Lebesgue measurable function $\varrho : \mathbb{R}^n \to [0,\infty]$ is **extensively admissible** for a family Γ of k-dimensional surfaces S in $\mathbb{R}^n$, abbr. $\varrho \in \operatorname{ext}\operatorname{adm}\Gamma$, if a subfamily of all surfaces S in Γ, for which (2.4) fails, has the modulus zero.

Given domains D and D' in $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n} = \mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}$, $n \geqslant 2$, $x_0 \in \overline{D} \setminus \{\infty\}$, and a measurable function $Q : \mathbb{R}^n \to (0,\infty)$, we say that a homeomorphism $f : D \to D'$ is a **lower Q-homeomorphism at the point** x_0 if

$$M(f\Sigma_\varepsilon) \geqslant \inf_{\varrho\in\operatorname{ext}\operatorname{adm}\Sigma_\varepsilon} \int\limits_{D\cap R_\varepsilon} \frac{\varrho^n(x)}{Q(x)}\, dm(x) \tag{2.6}$$

for every ring $R_\varepsilon = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \varepsilon < |x-x_0| < \varepsilon_0\}\,, \quad \varepsilon \in (0,\varepsilon_0)\,,\ \varepsilon_0 \in (0,d_0)$, where $d_0 = \sup\limits_{x\in D} |x-x_0|$, and Σ_ε denotes the family of all intersections of the spheres $S(x_0,r) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x-x_0| = r\}\,, r \in (\varepsilon,\varepsilon_0)\,,$ with D. This notion can be extended to the case $x_0 = \infty \in \overline{D}$ by applying the inversion T with respect to the unit sphere in $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$, $T(x) = x/|x|^2$, $T(\infty) = 0$, $T(0) = \infty$. Namely, a homeomorphism $f : D \to D'$ is said to be a **lower Q-homeomorphism at** $\infty \in \overline{D}$ if $F = f \circ T$ is a lower Q_*-homeomorphism with $Q_* = Q \circ T$ at 0.

We also say that a homeomorphism $f : D \to \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ is a **lower Q-homeomorphism in** D if f is a lower Q-homeomorphism at every point $x_0 \in \overline{D}$.

Recall the criterion for homeomorphisms in $\mathbb{R}^n$ to be lower Q-homeomorphisms, see Theorem 2.1 in [21] or Theorem 9.2 in [31].

Proposition 2.1. *Let D and D' be domains in $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$, $n \geqslant 2$, let $x_0 \in \overline{D}\setminus\{\infty\}$, and $Q : D \to (0,\infty)$ be a measurable function. A homeomorphism $f : D \to D'$*

is a lower Q-homeomorphism at x_0 if and only if

$$M(f\Sigma_{\varepsilon}) \geqslant \int\limits_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon_0} \frac{dr}{||Q||_{n-1}(x_0, r)} \qquad \forall\ \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)\,,\ \varepsilon_0 \in (0, d(x_0))\,, \tag{2.7}$$

where $d(x_0) = \sup\limits_{x\in D} |\,x - x_0|$ *and*

$$||Q||_{n-1}(x_0, r) = \left(\int\limits_{D(x_0,r)} Q^{n-1}(x)\, d\mathcal{A} \right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \tag{2.8}$$

is the L_{n-1}-norm of Q over $D(x_0, r) = \{x \in D : |x - x_0| = r\} = D \cap S(x_0, r)$.

Further, as usual for sets A, B and C in $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$, $\Delta(A, B, C)$ denotes the family of all paths joining A and B in C.

Now, given domains D in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and D' in $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$, $n \geqslant 2$, and a measurable function $Q : \mathbb{R}^n \to (0, \infty)$. Let $S_i := S(x_0, r_i)$. We say that a homeomorphism $f : D \to D'$ is a **ring Q-homeomorphism at a point** $x_0 \in \overline{D} \setminus \{\infty\}$ if

$$M(f(\Delta(S_1, S_2, D))) \leqslant \int\limits_{A\cap D} Q(x) \cdot \eta^n(|x - x_0|)\, dm(x) \tag{2.9}$$

for every ring $A = A(x_0, r_1, r_2)$, $0 < r_1 < r_2 < d_0 = \operatorname{dist}(x_0, \partial D)$, and for every measurable function $\eta : (r_1, r_2) \to [0, \infty]$ such that

$$\int\limits_{r_1}^{r_2} \eta(r)\, dr \geq 1. \tag{2.10}$$

The notion of a ring $Q-$homeomorphim can be extended to ∞ by the standard way as in the case of a lower $Q-$homeomorphism above.

The notion of a ring $Q-$homeomorphim was first introduced for inner points of a domain in the work [50] in the connection with investigations of the Beltrami equations in the plane and then it was extended to the space in the work [48], see also the monograph [31]. This notion was extended to boundary points in the papers [28] and [51]–[53], see also the monograph [12]. By Corollary 5 in [25] we have the following fact.

Proposition 2.2. *In $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geqslant 2$, a lower Q-homeomorphism $f : D \to D'$ at a point $x_0 \in \overline{D}$ with Q that is integrable in the degree $n-1$ in a neighborhood of x_0 is a ring Q_*-homeomorphism at x_0 with $Q_* = Q^{n-1}$.*

Remark 2.1. By Remark 8 in [25] the conclusion of Proposition 2.2 is valid if the function Q is only integrable in the degree $n-1$ on almost all spheres of small enough radii centered at the point x_0.

Note also that, in the definitions of lower and ring $Q-$homeomorphisms, it is sufficient to give the function Q only in the domain D or to extend by zero outside of D.

3 On canonical representation of ends of spatial domains

Lemma 3.1. *Every regular end K of a domain D in $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ includes a chain of cross–cuts σ_m lying on the spheres S_m centered at a point $x_0 \in \partial D$ with hordal radii $\rho_m \to 0$ as $m \to \infty$. Every regular end K of a bounded domain D in $\mathbb{R}^n$ includes a chain of cross–cuts σ_m lying on the spheres S_m centered at a point $x_0 \in \partial D$ with euclidean radii $r_m \to 0$ as $m \to \infty$.*

Proof. We restrict ourselves to the case of a domain D in $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ with the hordal metric. The second case is similar.

Let $\{\sigma_m\}$ be a chain of cross–cuts in the end P and x_m a sequence of points in σ_m. Without loss of generality we may assume that $x_m \to x_0 \in \partial D$ as $m \to \infty$ because $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ is a compact metric space. Then $\rho_m^- := h(x_0, \sigma_m) \to 0$ because $h(\sigma_m) \to 0$ as $m \to \infty$. Furthermore,

$$\rho_m^+ \ := \ H(x_0, \sigma_m) \ = \ \sup_{x \in \sigma_m} h(x, x_0) \ = \ \sup_{x \in \overline{\sigma_m}} h(x, x_0)$$

is the Hausdorff distance between the compact sets $\{x_0\}$ and $\overline{\sigma_m}$ in $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$. By the condition (i) in the definition of an end, we may assume without loss of generality that $\rho_m^- > 0$ and $\rho_{m+1}^+ < \rho_m^-$ for all $m = 1, 2, \ldots$.

Set

$$\delta_m \ = \ \Delta_m \setminus d_{m+1}$$

where $\Delta_m = S_m \cap d_m$ and

$$S_m \ = \ \{ \ x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}^n} \ : \ h(x_0, x) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\rho_m^- + \rho_{m+1}^+\right) \} \, .$$

It is clear that Δ_m and δ_m are relatively closed in d_m.

Note that d_{m+1} is contained in one of the components of the open set $d_m\setminus\delta_m$. Indeed, assume that there is a pair of points x_1 and $x_2\in d_{m+1}$ in different components Ω_1 and Ω_2 of $d_m\setminus\delta_m$. Then x_1 and x_2 can be joined by a continuous curve $\gamma:[0,1]\to d_{m+1}$. However, d_{m+1}, and hence γ, does not intersect δ_m by the construction and, consequently, $[0,1]=\bigcup\limits_{k=1}^{\infty}\omega_k$ where $\omega_k=\gamma^{-1}(\Omega_k)$, Ω_k is enumeration of components $d_m\setminus\delta_m$. But ω_k are open in $[0,1]$ because Ω_k are open and γ is continuous. The later contradicts to the connectivity of $[0,1]$ because $\omega_1\ne\varnothing$ and $\omega_2\ne\varnothing$ and, moreover, ω_i and ω_j are mutually disjoint whenever $i\ne j$.

Let d_m^* be a component of $d_m\setminus\delta_m$ containing d_{m+1}. Then by the construction $d_{m+1}\subseteq d_m^*\subseteq d_m$. It remains to show that $\partial d_m^*\setminus\partial D\subseteq\delta_m$. First, it is clear that $\partial d_m^*\setminus\partial D\subseteq\delta_m\cup\sigma_m$ because every point in $d_m\setminus\delta_m$ belongs either to d_m^* or to other component of $d_m\setminus\delta_m$ and hence not to the boundary of d_m^* in view of the relative closeness of δ_m in d_m. Thus, it is sufficient to prove that $\sigma_m\cap\partial d_m^*\setminus\partial D\ne\varnothing$.

Let us assume that there is a point $x_*\in\sigma_m$ in $d_m^*\setminus\partial D$. Then there is a point $y_*\in d_m^*$ which is close enough to σ_m with

$$h(x_0,y_*)\ >\ \frac{1}{2}\left(\rho_m^-+\rho_{m+1}^+\right)$$

because $h(x_0,y_*)\geqslant\rho_m^-$ and $\rho_{m+1}^+<\rho_m^-$. On the other hand, there is a point $z_*\in d_{m+1}$ which is close enough to σ_{m+1} such that

$$h(x_0,z_*)\ <\ \frac{1}{2}\left(\rho_m^-+\rho_{m+1}^+\right)\ .$$

However, the points z_* and y_* can be joined by a continuous curve $\gamma:[0,1]\to d_{m+1}^*$. Note that the sets $\gamma^{-1}(d_m^*\setminus\overline{d_{m+1}})$ consists of a countable collection of open disjoint intervals of $[0,1]$ and the interval $(t_0,1]$ with $t_0\in(0,1)$ and $z_0=\gamma(t_0)\in\sigma_{m+1}$. Thus,

$$h(x_0,z_0)\ <\ \frac{1}{2}\left(\rho_m^-+\rho_{m+1}^+\right)$$

because $h(x_0,z_0)\leqslant\rho_{m+1}^+$ and $\rho_{m+1}^+<\rho_m^-$. Now, by the continuity of the function $\varphi(t)=h(x_0,\gamma(t))$, there is $\tau_0\in(t_0,1)$ such that

$$h(x_0,y_0)\ =\ \frac{1}{2}\left(\rho_m^-+\rho_{m+1}^+\right)$$

where $y_0 = \gamma(\tau_0) \in d_m^*$ by the choice of γ. The contradiction disproves the above assumption and, thus, the proof is complete. $\Box$

Later on, given a domain D in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geqslant 2$, we say that a **sequence of points** $x_k \in D$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots$, **converges to its end** K if, for every chain $\{\sigma_m\}$ in K and every domain d_m, all points x_k except its finite collection belong to d_m.

4 On regular domains

Recall first of all the following topological notion. A domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geqslant 2$, is said to be **locally connected at a point** $x_0 \in \partial D$ if, for every neighborhood U of the point x_0, there is a neighborhood $V \subseteq U$ of x_0 such that $V \cap D$ is connected. Note that every Jordan domain D in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is locally connected at each point of ∂D, see, e.g., [66], p. 66.

Following [20] and [21], see also [31] and [46], we say that ∂D is **weakly flat at a point** $x_0 \in \partial D$ if, for every neighborhood U of the point x_0 and every number $P > 0$, there is a neighborhood $V \subset U$ of x_0 such that

$$M(\Delta(E, F, D)) \geqslant P \tag{4.1}$$

for all continua E and F in D intersecting ∂U and ∂V. Here and later on, $\Delta(E, F, D)$ denotes the family of all paths $\gamma : [a, b] \to \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ connecting E and F in D, i.e., $\gamma(a) \in E$, $\gamma(b) \in F$ and $\gamma(t) \in D$ for all $t \in (a, b)$. We say that the boundary ∂D is **weakly flat** if it is weakly flat at every point in ∂D.

We also say that a **point** $x_0 \in \partial D$ is **strongly accessible** if, for every neighborhood U of the point x_0, there exist a compactum E in D, a neighborhood $V \subset U$ of x_0 and a number $\delta > 0$ such that

$$M(\Delta(E, F, D)) \geqslant \delta \tag{4.2}$$

for all continua F in D intersecting ∂U and ∂V. We say that the **boundary** ∂D is **strongly accessible** if every point $x_0 \in \partial D$ is strongly accessible.

Remark 4.1. Here, in the definitions of strongly accessible and weakly flat boundaries, we may take as neighborhoods U and V of a point x_0 only balls (closed or open) centered at x_0 or only neighborhoods of x_0 in another

fundamental system of neighborhoods of x_0. These conceptions can also be extended in a natural way to the case of $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ and $x_0 = \infty$. Then we must use the corresponding neighborhoods of ∞.

It is easy to see that if a domain D in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is weakly flat at a point $x_0 \in \partial D$, then the point x_0 is strongly accessible from D. Moreover, it was proved by us that if a domain D in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is weakly flat at a point $x_0 \in \partial D$, then D is locally connected at x_0, see, e.g., Lemma 5.1 in [21] or Lemma 3.15 in [31].

By the classical geometric definition of Väisälä, see, e.g., 13.1 in [62], a homeomorphism f between domains D and D' in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, is **K-quasiconformal**, abbr. **K-qc mapping**, if

$$M(\Gamma)/K \ \leq \ M(f\Gamma) \ \leq \ K \ M(\Gamma)$$

for every path family Γ in D. A homeomorphism $f : D \to D'$ is called **quasiconformal**, abbr. **qc**, if f is K-quasiconformal for some $K \in [1, \infty)$, i.e., if the distortion of the moduli of path families under the mapping f is bounded.

We say that the boundary of a domain D in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is **locally quasiconformal** if every point $x_0 \in \partial D$ has a neighborhood U that can be mapped by a quaisconformal mapping φ onto the unit ball $\mathbb{B}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ in such a way that $\varphi(\partial D \cap U)$ is the intersection of $\mathbb{B}^n$ with a coordinate hyperplane. Note that a locally quasiconformal boundary is weakly flat directly by definitions.

In the mapping theory and in the theory of differential equations, it is often applied the so-called Lipschitz domains whose boundaries are locally quasiconformal.

Recall first that a map $\varphi : X \to Y$ between metric spaces X and Y is said to be **Lipschitz** provided $\text{dist}(\varphi(x_1), \varphi(x_2)) \leqslant M \cdot \text{dist}(x_1, x_2)$ for some $M < \infty$ and for all x_1 and $x_2 \in X$. The map φ is called **bi-Lipschitz** if, in addition, $M^* \text{dist}(x_1, x_2) \leqslant \text{dist}(\varphi(x_1), \varphi(x_2))$ for some $M^* > 0$ and for all x_1 and $x_2 \in X$. Later on, X and Y are subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the Euclidean distance.

It is said that a domain D in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is **Lipschitz** if every point $x_0 \in \partial D$ has a neighborhood U that can be mapped by a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism φ onto the unit ball $\mathbb{B}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ in such a way that $\varphi(\partial D \cap U)$ is the intersection of $\mathbb{B}^n$ with the a coordinate hyperplane and $f(x_0) = 0$, see, e.g., [37]. Note

that bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms are quasiconformal and hence the Lipschitz domains have locally quasiconformal boundaries.

We call a bounded domain D in $\mathbb{R}^n$ **regular** if D can be mapped by a quasiconformal mapping onto a domain with locally quasiconformal boundary.

It is clear that each regular domain is finitely connected because under every homeomorphism between domains D and D' in $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$, $n \geqslant 2$, there is a natural one-to-one correspondence between components of the boundaries ∂D and $\partial D'$, see, e.g., Lemma 5.3 in [14] or Lemma 6.5 in [31]. Note also that each finitely connected domain in the plane whose boundary has no one degenerate component can be mapped by a conformal mapping onto some domain bounded by a finite collection of mutually disjoint circles and hence it is a regular domain, see, e.g., Theorem V.6.2 in [11].

As it follows from Theorem 5.1 in [36], each prime end of a regular domain in $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$, $n \geqslant 2$, is regular. Combining this fact with Lemma 3.1 above, we obtain the following statement.

Lemma 4.1. *Each prime end P of a regular domain D in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geqslant 2$, contains a chain of cross–cuts σ_m lying on spheres S_m with center at a point $x_0 \in \partial D$ and with euclidean radii $r_m \to 0$ as $m \to \infty$.*

Remark 4.2. As it follows from Theorem 4.1 in [36], under a quasiconformal mapping g of a domain D_0 with a locally quasiconformal boundary onto a domain D in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geqslant 2$, there is a natural one-to-one correspondence between points of ∂D_0 and prime ends of the domain D and, moreover, the cluster sets $C(g, b)$, $b \in \partial D_0$, coincide with the impression $I(P)$ of the corresponding prime ends P in D.

If $\overline{D}_P$ is the completion of a regular domain D with its prime ends and g_0 is a quasiconformal mapping of a domain D_0 with a locally quasiconformal boundary onto D, then it is natural to determine in $\overline{D}_p$ a metric $\rho_0(p_1, p_2) = \left|\widetilde{g_0}^{-1}(p_1) - \widetilde{g_0}^{-1}(p_2)\right|$ where $\widetilde{g_0}$ is the extension of g_0 to $\overline{D_0}$ mentioned above.

If g_* is another quasiconformal mapping of a domain D_* with a locally quasiconformal boundary onto the domain D, then the corresponding metric $\rho_*(p_1, p_2) = \left|\widetilde{g_*}^{-1}(p_1) - \widetilde{g_*}^{-1}(p_2)\right|$ generates the same convergence and, con-

sequently, the same topology in $\overline{D}_P$ as the metric ρ_0 because $g_0 \circ g_*^{-1}$ is a quasiconformal mapping between the domains D_* and D_0 that by Theorem 4.1 in [36] is extended to a homeomorphism between $\overline{D_*}$ and $\overline{D_0}$. We call the given topology in the space $\overline{D}_P$ the **topology of prime ends**.

This topology can be also described in inner terms of the domain D similarly to Section 9.5 in [7], however, we prefer the definition through the metrics because it is more clear, more convenient and it is important for us just metrizability of $\overline{D}_P$. Note also that the space $\overline{D}_P$ for every regular domain D in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the given topology is compact because the closure of the domain D_0 with locally quasiconformal boundary is a compact space and by the construction $\widetilde{g_0} : \overline{D}_P \to \overline{D_0}$ is a homeomorphism.

Later on, we will mean the continuity of mappings $f : \overline{D}_P \to \overline{D'}_P$ just with respect to this topology.

5 Continuous extension of lower Q-homeomorphisms

Lemma 5.1. *Let D and D' be regular domains in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geqslant 2$, and $f : D \to D'$ be a lower Q-homeomorphism. If*

$$\int\limits_0^{\delta(x_0)} \frac{dr}{||\,Q||_{\,n-1}(x_0, r)} \;=\; \infty \qquad\qquad \forall\; x_0 \in \partial D \tag{5.1}$$

for some $\delta(x_0) < d(x_0) = \sup\limits_{x\in D} |\,x - x_0|$ where

$$||\,Q||_{\,n-1}(x_0, r) \;=\; \left(\int\limits_{D\cap S(x_0,r)} Q^{n-1}\, d\mathcal{A}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}},$$

then f can be extended to a continuous mapping of $\overline{D}_P$ onto $\overline{D'}_P$.

Proof. In view of Remark 4.2, with no loss of generality we may assume that the domain D' has locally quasiconformal boundary and $\overline{D'}_P = \overline{D'}$. Again by Remark 4.2, namely by metrizability of spaces $\overline{D}_P$ and $\overline{D'}_P$, it suffices to prove that, for each prime end P of the domain D, the cluster set

$$L = C(P, f) := \left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : y = \lim_{k\to\infty} f(x_k), x_k \to P, x_k \in D\right\}$$

consists of a single point $y_0 \in \partial D'$.

Note that $L \neq \varnothing$ by compactness of the set $\overline{D'}$, and it is a subset of $\partial D'$, see, e.g., Proposition 2.5 in [46] or Proposition 13.5 in [31]. Let us assume that there exist at least two points y_0 and $z_0 \in L$. Set $U = B(y_0, r_0)$ where $0 < r_0 < |y_0 - z_0|$.

Let $x_0 \in I(P) \subseteq \partial D$ and let σ_k, $k = 1, 2, \ldots$, be a chain of cross–cuts of D, lying on spheres $S_k = S(x_0, r_k)$ from Lemma 4.1, with the associated domains D_k, $k = 1, 2, \ldots$. Then there exist points y_k and z_k in the domains $D'_k = f(D_k)$ such that $|y_0 - y_k| < r_0$ and $|y_0 - z_k| > r_0$ and, moreover, $y_k \to y_0$ and $z_k \to z_0$ as $k \to \infty$. Let C_k a continuous curves joining y_k and z_k in D'_k. Note that by the construction $\partial U \cap C_k \neq \varnothing$.

By the condition of strong accessibility of the point y_0, see Remark 4.1, there is a continuum $E \subset D'$ and a number $\delta > 0$ such that

$$M(\Delta(E, C_k; D')) \geqslant \delta$$

for all large enough k.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that the latter condition holds for all $k = 1, 2, \ldots$. Note that $C = f^{-1}(E)$ is a compact subset of D and hence $\varepsilon_0 = \operatorname{dist}(x_0, C) > 0$. Again, with no loss of generality, we may assume that $r_k < \varepsilon_0$ for all $k = 1, 2, \ldots$.

Let Γ_m be a family of all continuous curves in $D \setminus D_m$ joining the sphere $S_0 = S(x_0, \varepsilon_0)$ and $\overline{\sigma_m}$, $m = 1, 2, \ldots$. Note that by the construction $C_k \subset D'_k \subset D'_m$ for all $m \leqslant k$ and, thus, by the principle of minorization $M(f(\Gamma_m)) \geqslant \delta$ for all $m = 1, 2, \ldots$.

On the other hand, the quantity $M(f(\Gamma_m))$ is equal to the capacity of the condenser in D' with facings $\overline{D'_m}$ and $\overline{f(D \setminus B_0)}$ where $B_0 = B(x_0, \varepsilon_0)$, see, e.g., [57]. Thus, by the principle of minorization and Theorem 3.13 in [68]

$$M(f(\Gamma_m)) \leqslant \frac{1}{M^{n-1}(f(\Sigma_m))}$$

where Σ_m is the collection of all intersections of the domain D and the spheres $S(x_0, \rho)$, $\rho \in (r_m, \varepsilon_0)$, because $f(\Sigma_m) \subset \Sigma(f(S_m), f(S_0))$ where $\Sigma(f(S_m), f(S_0))$ consists of all closed subsets of D' separating $f(S_m)$ and $f(S_0)$. Finally, by the condition (5.1) we obtain that $M(f(\Gamma_m)) \to 0$ as $m \to \infty$.

The obtained contradiction disproves the assumption that the cluster set $C(P, f)$ consists of more than one point. $\Box$

6 Extension of the inverses of lower Q-homeomorphisms

Lemma 6.1. *Let D and D' be regular domains in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geqslant 2$, P_1 and P_2 be different prime ends of the domain D, f be a lower Q-homeomorphism of the domain D onto the domain D', and let σ_m, $m = 1, 2, \ldots$, be a chain of cross–cuts of the prime end P_1 from Lemma 4.1, lying on spheres $S(z_1, r_m)$, $z_1 \in I(P_1)$, with associated domains D_m. Suppose that the function Q is integrable in the degree $n-1$ over the surfaces*

$$D(r) \ = \ \{x \in D : |\, x - z_1| = r\} \ = \ D \cap S(z_1, r) \tag{6.1}$$

for a set E of numbers $r \in (0, d)$ of a positive linear measure where $d = r_{m_0}$ and where m_0 is a minimal number such that the domain D_{m_0} does not contain sequences of points converging to P_2. If $\partial D'$ is weakly flat, then

$$C(P_1, f) \cap C(P_2, f) \ = \ \varnothing. \tag{6.2}$$

Note that in view of metrizability of the completion $\overline{D}_P$ of the domain D with prime ends, see Remark 4.2, the number m_0 in Lemma 6.1 always exists.

Proof. Let us choose $\varepsilon \in (0, d)$ such that $E_0 := \{r \in E : r \in (\varepsilon, d)\}$ has a positive linear measure. Such a choice is possible in view of subadditivity of the linear measure and the exhaustion $E = \cup E_m$ where $E_m = \{r \in E : r \in (1/m, d)\}$, $m = 1, 2, \ldots$. Note that by Proposition 2.1

$$M(f(\Sigma_\varepsilon)) \ > \ 0 \tag{6.3}$$

where Σ_ε is the family of all surfaces $D(r)$, $r \in (\varepsilon, d)$, from (6.1).

Let us assume that $C_1 \cap C_2 \neq \varnothing$ where $C_i = C(P_i, f)$, $i = 1, 2$. By the construction there is $m_1 > m_0$ such that σ_{m_1} lies on the sphere $S(z_1, r_{m_1})$ with $r_{m_1} < \varepsilon$. Let $D_0 = D_{m_1}$ and $D_* \subseteq D \setminus D_{m_0}$ be a domain associated with a chain of cross–cuts of the prime end P_2. Let $y_0 \in C_1 \cap C_2$. Choose $r_0 > 0$ such that $S(y_0, r_0) \cap f(D_0) \neq \varnothing$ and $S(y_0, r_0) \cap f(D_*) \neq \varnothing$.

Set $\Gamma = \Gamma(\overline{D_0}, \overline{D_*}; D)$. Correspondingly (6.3), by the principle of minorization and Theorem 3.13 in [68],

$$M(f(\Gamma)) \leqslant \frac{1}{M^{n-1}(f(\Sigma_\varepsilon))} < \infty . \tag{6.4}$$

Let $M_0 > M(f(\Gamma))$ be a finite number. By the condition $\partial D'$ is weakly flat and hence there is $r_* \in (0, r_0)$ such that

$$M(\Delta(E, F; D')) \geqslant M_0$$

for all continua E and F in D' intersecting the spheres $S(y_0, r_0)$ and $S(y_0, r_*)$. However, these spheres can be joined by continuous curves c_1 and c_2 in the domains $f(D_0)$ and $f(D_*)$ and, in particular, for these curves

$$M_0 \leqslant M(\Delta(c_1, c_2; D')) \leqslant M(f(\Gamma)) . \tag{6.5}$$

The obtained contradiction disproves the assumption that $C_1 \cap C_2 \neq \varnothing$. $\Box$

Theorem 6.1. *Let D and D' be regular domains in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geqslant 2$. If f is a lower Q-homeomorphism D onto D' with $Q \in L^{n-1}(D)$, then f^{-1} can be extended to a continuous mapping of $\overline{D'}_P$ onto $\overline{D}_P$.*

Proof. By Remark 4.2, we may assume with no loss of generality that D' is a circular domain, $\overline{D'}_P = \overline{D'}$; $C(y_0, f^{-1}) \neq \varnothing$ for every $y_0 \in \partial D'$ because $\overline{D}_P$ is metrizable and compact. Moreover, $C(y_0, f^{-1}) \cap D = \varnothing$, see, e.g., Proposition 2.5 in [46] or Proposition 13.5 in [31].

Let us assume that there is at least two different prime ends P_1 and P_2 in $C(y_0, f^{-1})$. Then $y_0 \in C(P_1, f) \cap C(P_2, f)$ and, thus, (6.2) does not hold. Let $z_1 \in \partial D$ be a point corresponding to P_1 from Lemma 4.1. Note that

$$E = \{r \in (0, \delta) : Q|_{D \cap S(z_1, r)} \in L^1(D \cap S(z_1, r))\} \tag{6.6}$$

has a positive linear measure for every $\delta > 0$ by the Fubini theorem, see, e.g., [55], because $Q \in L^1(D)$. The obtained contradiction with Lemma 6.1 shows that $C(y_0, f^{-1})$ contains only one prime end of D.

Thus, we have the extension g of f^{-1} to $\overline{D'}$ such that $C(\partial D', f^{-1}) \subseteq \overline{D}_P \setminus D$. Really $C(\partial D', f^{-1}) = \overline{D}_P \setminus D$. Indeed, if P_0 is a prime end of D, then there is a sequence x_n in D being convergent to P_0. We may assume without loss of

generality that $x_n \to x_0 \in \partial D$ and $f(x_n) \to y_0 \in \partial D'$ because $\overline{D}$ and $\overline{D'}$ are compact. Hence $P_0 \in C(y_0, f^{-1})$.

Finally, let us show that the extended mapping $g : \overline{D'} \to \overline{D}_P$ is continuous. Indeed, let $y_n \to y_0$ in $\overline{D'}$. If $y_0 \in D'$, then the statement is obvious. If $y_0 \in \partial D'$, then take $y_n^* \in D'$ such that $|y_n - y_n^*| < 1/n$ and $\rho(g(y_n), g(y_n^*)) < 1/n$ where ρ is one of the metrics in Remark 4.2. Note that by the construction $g(y_n^*) \to g(y_0)$ because $y_n^* \to y_0$. Consequently, $g(y_n) \to g(y_0)$, too. $\Box$

Theorem 6.2. *Let D and D' be regular domains in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geqslant 2$. If $f : D \to D'$ is a lower Q-homeomorphism with condition (5.1), then f^{-1} can be extended to a continuous mapping of $\overline{D'}_P$ onto $\overline{D}_P$.*

Proof. Indeed, by Lemma 9.2 in [21] or Lemma 9.6 in [31], condition (5.1) implies that

$$\int\limits_0^{\delta} \frac{dr}{||Q||(x_0, r)} \ = \ \infty \qquad\qquad \forall\ x_0 \in \partial D \qquad \forall\ \delta \in (0, \varepsilon_0) \tag{6.7}$$

and, thus, the set

$$E \ = \ \{r \in (0, \delta) : \ Q|_{D \cap S(x_0, r)} \in L^1(D \cap S(x_0, r))\} \tag{6.8}$$

has a positive linear measure for all $x_0 \in \partial D$ and all $\delta \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$. The rest of arguments is perfectly similar to one in the proof of the previous theorem. $\Box$

7 Homeomorphic extension of lower Q-homeomorphisms

Combining Lemma 5.1 and Theorem 6.2, we obtain the next conclusion.

Theorem 7.1. *Let D and D' be regular domains in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geqslant 2$, and let $f : D \to D'$ be a lower Q-homeomorphism with*

$$\int\limits_0^{\delta(x_0)} \frac{dr}{||\, Q||_{\,n-1}(x_0, r)} \ = \ \infty \qquad \forall\ x_0 \in \partial D \tag{7.1}$$

for some $\delta(x_0)\in (0, d(x_0))$ *where* $d(x_0)\ =\ \sup\limits_{x\in D}\ |\,x-x_0|$ *and*

$$||\,Q||_{\,n-1}(x_0, r)\ =\ \left(\int\limits_{D\cap S(x_0,r)} Q^{n-1}(x)\,d\mathcal{A}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}\ .$$

Then f *can be extended to a homeomorphism of* $\overline{D}_P$ *onto* $\overline{D'}_P$.

Corollary 7.1. *In particular, the conclusion of Theorem 7.1 holds if*

$$q_{x_0}(r)=O\left(\left[\log\frac{1}{r}\right]^{n-1}\right)\qquad \forall\ x_0\in\partial D \tag{7.2}$$

as $r\to 0$ *where* $q_{x_0}(r)$ *is the mean integral value of* Q^{n-1} *over the sphere* $|x-x_0|=r$.

Using Lemma 2.2 in [48], see also Lemma 7.4 in [31], by Theorem 7.1 we obtain the following general lemma that, in turn, makes possible to obtain new criteria in a great number.

Lemma 7.1. *Let* D *and* D' *be regular domains in* $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n\geqslant 2$, *and let* $f: D\to D'$ *be a lower* Q*-homeomorphism. Suppose that*

$$\int\limits_{D(x_0,\varepsilon)} Q^{n-1}(x)\cdot\psi^n_{x_0,\varepsilon}(|x-x_0|)\,dm(x)=o\left(I^n_{x_0}(\varepsilon)\right)\qquad \forall x_0\in\partial D \tag{7.3}$$

as $\varepsilon\to 0$ *where* $D(x_0,\varepsilon)=\{x\in D:\varepsilon<|x-x_0|<\varepsilon_0\}$ *for* $\varepsilon_0=\varepsilon(x_0)>0$ *and where* $\psi_{x_0,\varepsilon}(t):(0,\infty)\to[0,\infty]$, $\varepsilon\in(0,\varepsilon_0)$, *is a two-parameter family of measurable functions such that*

$$0<I_{x_0}(\varepsilon)=\int\limits_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon_0}\psi_{x_0,\varepsilon}(t)\,dt<\infty\qquad\qquad \forall\varepsilon\in(0,\varepsilon_0)\ .$$

Then f *can be extended to a homeomorphism of* $\overline{D}_P$ *onto* $\overline{D'}_P$.

Remark 7.1. Note that (7.3) holds, in particular, if

$$\int\limits_{B(x_0,\varepsilon_0)} Q^{n-1}(x)\cdot\psi^n(|x-x_0|)\,dm(x)<\infty\qquad\qquad \forall x_0\in\partial D \tag{7.4}$$

where $B(x_0, \varepsilon_0) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - x_0| < \varepsilon_0\}$ for some $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon(x_0) > 0$ and where $\psi(t) : (0, \infty) \to [0, \infty]$ is a measurable function such that $I_{x_0}(\varepsilon) \to \infty$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. In other words, for the extendability of f to a homeomorphism of $\overline{D}_P$ onto $\overline{D'}_P$, it suffices the integrals in (7.4) to be convergent for some nonnegative function $\psi(t)$ that is locally integrable on $(0, \varepsilon_0]$ but it has a non-integrable singularity at zero.

Let D be a domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geqslant 1$. Recall that a real valued function $\varphi \in L^1_{\rm loc}(D)$ is said to be of **bounded mean oscillation** in D, abbr. $\varphi \in {\rm BMO}(D)$ or simply $\varphi \in {\rm BMO}$, if

$$\|\varphi\|_* = \sup_{B \subset D} \fint\limits_B |\varphi(z) - \varphi_B|\, dm(z) < \infty \tag{7.5}$$

where the supremum is taken over all balls B in D and

$$\varphi_B = \fint\limits_B \varphi(z)\, dm(z) = \frac{1}{|B|} \int\limits_B \varphi(z)\, dm(z) \tag{7.6}$$

is the mean value of the function φ over B. Note that $L^\infty(D) \subset {\rm BMO}(D) \subset L^p_{\rm loc}(D)$ for all $1 \leqslant p < \infty$, see, e.g., [43].

A function φ in BMO is said to have **vanishing mean oscillation**, abbr. $\varphi \in {\rm VMO}$, if the supremum in (7.5) taken over all balls B in D with $|B| < \varepsilon$ converges to 0 as $\varepsilon \to 0$. VMO has been introduced by Sarason in [56]. There are a number of papers devoted to the study of partial differential equations with coefficients of the class VMO, see, e.g., [6], [16], [32], [40] and [42].

Following [14], we say that a function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, $n \geqslant 2$, has **finite mean oscillation** at a point x_0, write $\varphi \in {\rm FMO}(x_0)$, if $\varphi \in L^1_{\rm loc}$ and

$$\overline{\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0}} \fint_{B(x_0, \varepsilon)} |\varphi(x) - \widetilde{\varphi}_\varepsilon|\, dm(x) < \infty \tag{7.7}$$

where $\widetilde{\varphi}_\varepsilon$ denotes the mean integral value of the function φ over the ball $B(x_0, \varepsilon)$. We also write $\varphi \in {\rm FMO}(D)$ or simply $\varphi \in {\rm FMO}$ by context if this property holds at every point $x_0 \in D$. Clearly that ${\rm BMO} \subset {\rm FMO}$. By definition ${\rm FMO} \subset L^1_{\rm loc}$ but FMO is not a subset of $L^p_{\rm loc}$ for any $p > 1$, see [31]. Thus, the class FMO is essentially more wide than ${\rm BMO}_{\rm loc}$.

Choosing in Lemma 7.1 $\psi(t) := \frac{1}{t\log 1/t}$ and applying Corollary 2.3 on FMO in [14], see also Corollary 6.3 in [31], we obtain the next result.

Theorem 7.2. *Let D and D' be regular domains in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geqslant 2$, and let $f : D \to D'$ be a lower Q-homeomorphism. If $Q^{n-1}(x)$ has finite mean oscillation at every point $x_0 \in \partial D$, then f can be extended to a homeomorphism of $\overline{D}_P$ onto $\overline{D'}_P$.*

Corollary 7.2. *In particular, the conslusion of Theorem 7.2 holds if*

$$\overline{\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}} \fint_{B(x_0,\varepsilon)} Q^{n-1}(x)\, dm(x) \;<\; \infty \qquad\qquad \forall\; x_0 \in \partial D \tag{7.8}$$

Recall that a point x_0 is called a **Lebesgue point** of a function $\varphi : D \to \mathbb{R}$ if φ is integrable in a neighborhood of x_0 and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \quad \fint_{B(x_0,\varepsilon)} |\varphi(x) - \varphi(x_0)|\, dm(x) = 0\,. \tag{7.9}$$

Corollary 7.3. *The conslusion of Theorem 7.2 holds if every point $x_0 \in \partial D$ is a Lebesgue point of the function $Q : \mathbb{R}^n \to (0,\infty)$.*

The next statement also follows from Lemma 7.1 under the choice $\psi(t) = 1/t$.

Theorem 7.3. *Let D and D' be regular domains in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geqslant 2$, and $f : D \to D'$ be a lower Q-homeomorphism. If, for some $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon(x_0) > 0$, as $\varepsilon \to 0$*

$$\int\limits_{\varepsilon<|x-x_0|<\varepsilon_0} Q(x)\,\frac{dm(x)}{|x-x_0|^n} = o\left(\left[\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right]^n\right) \qquad\qquad \forall\; x_0 \in \partial D\;, \tag{7.10}$$

then f can be extended to a homeomorphism of $\overline{D}_P$ onto $\overline{D'}_P$.

Remark 7.2. Choosing in Lemma 7.1 the function $\psi(t) = 1/(t\log 1/t)$ instead of $\psi(t) = 1/t$, (7.10) can be replaced by the more weak condition

$$\int\limits_{\varepsilon<|x-x_0|<\varepsilon_0} \frac{Q(x)\,dm(x)}{|x-x_0|\,\log\frac{1}{|x-x_0|}} = o\left(\left[\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right]^n\right) \tag{7.11}$$

and (7.2) by the condition

$$q_{x_0}(r) = o\left(\left[\log\frac{1}{r}\log\,\log\frac{1}{r}\right]^{n-1}\right). \tag{7.12}$$

Of course, we could to give here the whole scale of the corresponding condition of the logarithmic type using suitable functions $\psi(t)$.

Theorem 7.1 has a magnitude of other fine consequences, for instance:

Theorem 7.4. *Let D and D' be regular domains in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geqslant 2$, and let $f : D \to D'$ be a lower Q-homeomorphism with*

$$\int\limits_D \Phi\left(Q^{n-1}(x)\right) dm(x) < \infty \tag{7.13}$$

for a nondecreasing convex function $\Phi : [0, \infty] \to [0, \infty]$ such that, for some $\delta > \Phi(0)$,

$$\int\limits_{\delta}^{\infty} \frac{d\tau}{\tau \left[\Phi^{-1}(\tau)\right]^{\frac{1}{n-1}}} = \infty \ . \tag{7.14}$$

Then f can be extended to a homeomorphism of $\overline{D}_P$ onto $\overline{D'}_P$.

Indeed, by Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 in [54], (7.13) and (7.14) imply (7.1) and, thus, Theorem 7.4 is a direct consequence of Theorem 7.1.

Corollary 7.4. *In particular, the conclusion of Theorem 7.2 holds if*

$$\int\limits_D e^{\alpha Q^{n-1}(x)} \, dm(x) \ < \ \infty \tag{7.15}$$

for some $\alpha > 0$.

Remark 7.3. Note that the condition (7.14) is not only sufficient but also necessary for a cotinuous extension to the boundary of the mappings f with integral restrictions of the form (7.13), see, e.g., Theorem 5.1 and Remark 5.1 in [23].

Moreover, by Theorem 2.1 in [54], see also Proposition 2.3 in [49], (7.14) is equivalent to every of the conditions from the following series:

$$\int\limits_{\delta}^{\infty} H'_{n-1}(t) \, \frac{dt}{t} = \infty \ , \quad \delta > 0 \ , \tag{7.16}$$

$$\int\limits_{\delta}^{\infty} \frac{dH_{n-1}(t)}{t} = \infty \ , \quad \delta > 0 \ , \tag{7.17}$$

$$\int\limits_{\delta}^{\infty} H_{n-1}(t)\,\frac{dt}{t^2}=\infty\ ,\quad\ \ \delta>0\ , \tag{7.18}$$

$$\int\limits_{0}^{\Delta} H_{n-1}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)\,dt=\infty\ ,\quad\ \ \Delta>0\ , \tag{7.19}$$

$$\int\limits_{\delta_*}^{\infty}\frac{d\eta}{H^{-1}_{n-1}(\eta)}=\infty\ ,\quad\ \ \delta_*>H_{n-1}(+0)\ , \tag{7.20}$$

$$\int\limits_{\delta_*}^{\infty}\frac{d\tau}{\tau\Phi^{-1}_{n-1}(\tau)}=\infty\ ,\quad\ \ \delta_*>\Phi(+0)\ , \tag{7.21}$$

where

$$H_{n-1}(t)=\log\Phi_{n-1}(t)\,,\quad \Phi_{n-1}(t)=\Phi\left(t^{n-1}\right)\ . \tag{7.22}$$

Here, in (7.16) and (7.17), we complete the definition of integrals by ∞ if $\Phi_{n-1}(t)=\infty$, correspondingly, $H_{n-1}(t)=\infty$, for all $t\geqslant T\in{\Bbb R}^+$. The integral in (7.17) is understood as the Lebesgue–Stieltjes integral and the integrals in (7.16) and (7.18)–(7.21) as the ordinary Lebesgue integrals.

It is necessary to give one more explanation. From the right hand sides in the conditions (7.16)–(7.21) we have in mind $+\infty$. If $\Phi_{n-1}(t)=0$ for $t\in[0,t_*]$, then $H_{n-1}(t)=-\infty$ for $t\in[0,t_*]$ and we complete the definition $H'_{n-1}(t)=0$ for $t\in[0,t_*]$. Note, the conditions (7.17) and (7.18) exclude that t_* belongs to the interval of integrability because in the contrary case the left hand sides in (7.17) and (7.18) are either equal to $-\infty$ or indeterminate. Hence we may assume in (7.16)–(7.19) that $\delta>t_0$, correspondingly, $\Delta<1/t_0$ where $t_0:=\sup\limits_{\Phi_{n-1}(t)=0} t$, $t_0=0$ if $\Phi_{n-1}(0)>0$.

The most interesting of the above conditions is (7.18) that can be rewritten in the following form:

$$\int\limits_{\delta}^{\infty}\log\Phi(t)\ \ \frac{dt}{t^{n'}}\ \ =\ \ \infty \tag{7.23}$$

where $\frac{1}{n'}+\frac{1}{n}=1$, i.e. $n'=2$ for $n=2$, n' is strictly decreasing in n and $n'=n/(n-1)\to 1$ as $n\to\infty$.

The theory of the boundary behavior for the lower Q-homeomorphisms developed here will find its applications, in particular, to mappings in classes of Sobolev and Orlicz-Sobolev and also to finitely bilipschitz mappings that a far–reaching extension of the well-known classes of isometric and quasiisometric mappings, see, e.g., [18], [19], [24], [25], [26], [31] and [47].

8 Lower Q-homeomorphisms and Orlicz–Sobolev classes

Following Orlicz, see [38], see also the monographs [20] and [67], given a convex increasing function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$, $\varphi(0) = 0$, denote by L^{φ} the space of all functions $f : D \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\int\limits_D \varphi\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda}\right)\, dm(x) < \infty \tag{8.1}$$

for some $\lambda > 0$ where $dm(x)$ corresponds to the Lebesgue measure in D. L^{φ} is called the **Orlicz space**. In other words, L^{φ} is the cone over the class of all functions $g : D \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\int\limits_D \varphi\left(|g(x)|\right)\, dm(x) < \infty \tag{8.2}$$

which is also called the **Orlicz class**, see [3].

The **Orlicz–Sobolev class** $W^{1,\varphi}(D)$ is the class of all functions $f \in L^1(D)$ with the first distributional derivatives whose gradient ∇f belongs to the Orlicz class in D. $f \in W^{1,\varphi}_{\rm loc}(D)$ if $f \in W^{1,\varphi}(D_*)$ for every domain D_* with a compact closure in D. Note that by definition $W^{1,\varphi}_{\rm loc} \subseteq W^{1,1}_{\rm loc}$. As usual, we write $f \in W^{1,p}_{\rm loc}$ if $\varphi(t) = t^p$, $p \geqslant 1$. Later on, we also write $f \in W^{1,\varphi}_{\rm loc}$ for a locally integrable vector-function $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_m)$ of n real variables $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ if $f_i \in W^{1,1}_{\rm loc}$ and

$$\int\limits_D \varphi\left(|\nabla f(x)|\right)\, dm(x) < \infty \tag{8.3}$$

where $|\nabla f(x)| = \sqrt{\sum\limits_{i,j} \left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}\right)^2}$. Note that in this paper we use the notation $W^{1,\varphi}_{\rm loc}$ for more general functions φ than in those classic Orlicz classes often giving up

the conditions on convexity and normalization of φ. Note also that the Orlicz–Sobolev classes are intensively studied in various aspects at the moment, see, e.g., [25] and further references therein.

In this connection, recall the minimal definitions which are relative to Sobolev's classes. Given an open set U in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, $C_0^\infty(U)$ denotes the collection of all functions $\psi : U \to \mathbb{R}$ with compact support having continuous partial derivatives of any order. Now, let u and $v : U \to \mathbb{R}$ be locally integrable functions. The function v is called the **distributional derivative** u_{x_i} of u in the variable x_i, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$, if

$$\int\limits_U u\,\psi_{x_i}\,dm(x) = -\int\limits_U v\,\psi\;dm(x) \quad \forall\ \psi \in C_0^\infty(U)\ . \tag{8.4}$$

The **Sobolev classes** $W^{1,p}(U)$ consist of all functions $u : U \to \mathbb{R}$ in $L^p(U)$ with all distributional derivatives of the first order in $L^p(U)$. A function $u : U \to \mathbb{R}$ belongs to $W^{1,p}_{\rm loc}(U)$ if $u \in W^{1,p}(U_*)$ for every open set U_* with a compact closure in U. We use the abbreviation $W^{1,p}_{\rm loc}$ if U is either defined by the context or not essential. The similar notion is introduced for vector-functions $f : U \to \mathbb{R}^m$ in the component-wise sense. It is known that a continuous function f belongs to $W^{1,p}_{\rm loc}$ if and only if $f \in ACL^p$, i.e., if f is locally absolutely continuous on a.e. straight line which is parallel to a coordinate axis and if the first partial derivatives of f are locally integrable with the power p, see, e.g., 1.1.3 in [35]. Recall that the concept of the distributional (generalized) derivative was introduced by Sobolev in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geqslant 2$, see [58], and at present it is developed under wider settings by many authors, see, e.g., many relevant references in [25].

In this section we show that each homeomorphism f with finite distortion in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geqslant 3$, of the Orlicz–Sobolev class $W^{1,\varphi}_{\rm loc}$ with the Calderon type condition

$$\int\limits_{t_*}^{\infty} \left[\frac{t}{\varphi(t)}\right]^{\frac{1}{n-2}} dt < \infty \tag{8.5}$$

for some $t_* \in \mathbb{R}^+$, cf. [4], is a lower Q-homeomorphism where $Q = K_f$ is equal to one of the dilatations of f.

Given a mapping $f : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ with partial derivatives a.e., recall that $f'(x)$ denotes the Jacobian matrix of f at $x \in D$ if it exists, $J(x) = J(x, f) = \det f'(x)$ is the Jacobian of f at x, and $\|f'(x)\|$ is the operator norm of $f'(x)$, i.e.,

$$\|f'(x)\| = \max\{|f'(x)h| : h \in \mathbb{R}^n, |h| = 1\}. \tag{8.6}$$

We also let

$$l(f'(x)) = \min\{|f'(x)h| : h \in \mathbb{R}^n, |h| = 1\}. \tag{8.7}$$

The **outer dilatation** of f at x is defined by

$$K_O(x) = K_O(x, f) = \begin{cases} \frac{\|f'(x)\|^n}{|J(x,f)|} & \text{if } J(x, f) \neq 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } f'(x) = 0, \\ \infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{8.8}$$

the **inner dilatation** of f at x by

$$K_I(x) = K_I(x, f) = \begin{cases} \frac{|J(x,f)|}{l(f'(x))^n} & \text{if } J(x, f) \neq 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } f'(x) = 0, \\ \infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{8.9}$$

Further we also use dilatations P_O and P_I defined by

$$P_O(x, f) = K_O^{\frac{1}{n-1}}(x, f) \quad \text{and} \quad P_I(x, f) = K_I^{\frac{1}{n-1}}(x, f) . \tag{8.10}$$

Note that

$$P_O(x, f) \leq K_I(x, f) \quad \text{and} \quad P_I(x, f) \leq K_O(x, f) , \tag{8.11}$$

see, e.g., Section 1.2.1 in [44], and, in particular, $K_O(x, f)$ and $K_I(x, f)$, $P_O(x, f)$ and $P_I(x, f)$ are simultaneously finite or infinite. $K_O(x, f) < \infty$ a.e. is equivalent to the condition that a.e. either $\det f'(x) > 0$ or $f'(x) = 0$.

Recall also that a (continuous) mapping $f : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is **absolutely continuous on lines**, abbr. $f \in$ **ACL**, if, for every closed parallelepiped P in D whose sides are perpendicular to the coordinate axes, each coordinate function of $f|P$ is absolutely continuous on almost every line segment in P that is parallel to the coordinate axes. Note that, if $f \in$ ACL, then f has the first partial derivatives a.e.

In particular, f is ACL if $f \in W^{1,1}_{\rm loc}$. In general, mappings in the Sobolev classes $\mathbf{W^{1,p}_{loc}}$, $p \in [1, \infty)$, with generalized first partial derivatives in $L^p_{\rm loc}$ can be characterized as mappings in $\mathbf{ACL^p_{loc}}$, i.e. mappings in ACL whose usual first partial derivatives are locally integrable in the degree p; see, e.g., [35], p. 8.

Now, recall that a homeomorphism f between domains D and D' in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geqslant 2$, is called of **finite distortion** if $f \in W^{1,1}_{\rm loc}$ and

$$\|f'(x)\|^n \leqslant K(x) \cdot J_f(x) \tag{8.12}$$

with some a.e. finite function K. In other words, (8.12) means that dilatations $K_O(x, f)$, $K_I(x, f)$, $P_O(x, f)$ and $P_I(x, f)$ are finite a.e.

First this notion was introduced on the plane for $f \in W^{1,2}_{\rm loc}$ in the work [17]. Later on, this condition was replaced by $f \in W^{1,1}_{\rm loc}$ but with the additional condition $J_f \in L^1_{\rm loc}$ in the monograph [15]. The theory of the mappings with finite distortion had many successors, see many relevant references in the monographs [12] and [31]. They had as predecessors of the mappings with bounded distortion, see [44], and also[63], in other words, the quasiregular mappings, see, e.g., [13], [30] and [45]. They are also closely connected to the earlier mappings with the bounded Dirichlet integral and the mappings quasiconformal in the mean which had a rich history, see, e.g., further references in [31].

Note that the above additional condition $J_f \in L^1_{\rm loc}$ in the definition of the mappings with finite distortion can be omitted for homeomorphisms. Indeed, for each homeomorphism f between domains D and D' in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the first partial derivatives a.e. in D, there is a set E of the Lebesgue measure zero such that f satisfies (N)-property by Lusin on $D \setminus E$ and

$$\int\limits_A J_f(x)\, dm(x) = |f(A)| \tag{8.13}$$

for every Borel set $A \subset D \setminus E$, see, e.g., 3.1.4, 3.1.8 and 3.2.5 in [8]. On this basis, it is also easy by the Hölder inequality to verify, in particular, that if $f \in W^{1,1}_{\rm loc}$ is a homeomorphism and $K_f \in L^q_{\rm loc}$ for some $q > n-1$, then also $f \in W^{1,p}_{\rm loc}$ for some $p > n-1$, that we often use further to obtain corollaries.

On the basis of (8.13) below, it is easy to prove the following useful statement.

Proposition 8.1. *Let f be an ACL homeomorphism of a domain D in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geqslant 2$, into $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then*

$$(i) \quad f \in W^{1,1}_{loc} \quad \textit{if} \quad P_O \in L^1_{loc} \ ,$$

$$(ii) \quad f \in W^{1,\frac{n}{2}}_{loc} \quad \textit{if} \quad K_O \in L^1_{loc} \ ,$$

$$(iii) \quad f \in W^{1,n-1}_{loc} \quad \textit{if} \quad K_O \in L^{n-1}_{loc} \ ,$$

$$(iv) \quad f \in W^{1,p}_{loc}, \ p > n-1 \quad \textit{if} \quad K_O \in L^{\gamma}_{loc}, \ \gamma > n-1 \ ,$$

$$(v) \quad f \in W^{1,p}_{loc}, \ p = n\gamma/(1+\gamma) \geq 1 \quad \textit{if} \quad K_O \in L^{\gamma}_{loc}, \ \gamma \geq 1/(n-1) \ .$$

These conclusions and the estimates (8.14) are also valid for all ACL mappings $f : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ with $J_f \in L^1_{loc}$.

Indeed, by the Hölder inequality applied on a compact set C in D, we obtain on the basis of (8.13) the following estimates of the first partial derivatives

$$\|\partial_i f\|_p \leqslant \|f'\|_p \leqslant \|K_O^{1/n}\|_s \cdot \|J_f^{1/n}\|_n \leqslant \|K_O\|_{\gamma}^{1/n} \cdot |f(C)|^{1/n} < \infty \qquad (8.14)$$

if $K_O \in L^{\gamma}_{loc}$ for some $\gamma \in (0, \infty)$ because $\|f'(x)\| = K_O^{1/n}(x) \cdot J_f^{1/n}(x)$ a.e. where $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{n}$ and $s = \gamma n$, i.e., $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{1}{\gamma} + 1\right)$.

We sometimes use the estimate (8.14) with no comments to obtain corollaries.

The next statement is key for deriving many consequences of our theory developed in Sections 5, 6 and 7, cf. Theorem 4.1 in [24] and Theorem 5 in [25].

Lemma 8.1. *Let D and D' be domains in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geqslant 3$, and let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a nondecreasing function such that, for some $t_* \in \mathbb{R}^+$,*

$$\int\limits_{t_*}^{\infty} \left[\frac{t}{\varphi(t)}\right]^{\frac{1}{n-2}} dt < \infty \, . \qquad (8.15)$$

Then each homeomorphism $f : D \to D'$ of finite distortion in the class $W^{1,\varphi}_{\rm loc}$ is a lower Q-homeomorphism at every point $x_0 \in \overline{D}$ with $Q(x) = P_I(x, f)$.

Proof. Let B be a (Borel) set of all points $x \in D$ where f has a total differential $f'(x)$ and $J_f(x) \neq 0$. Then, applying Kirszbraun's theorem and

uniqueness of approximate differential, see, e.g., 2.10.43 and 3.1.2 in [8], we see that B is the union of a countable collection of Borel sets B_l, $l = 1, 2, \ldots$, such that $f_l = f|_{B_l}$ are bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms, see, e.g., 3.2.2 as well as 3.1.4 and 3.1.8 in [8]. With no loss of generality, we may assume that the B_l are mutually disjoint. Denote also by B_* the rest of all points $x \in D$ where f has the total differential but with $f'(x) = 0$.

By the construction the set $B_0 := D \setminus (B \bigcup B_*)$ has Lebesgue measure zero, see Theorem 1 in [25]. Hence $\mathcal{A}_S(B_0) = 0$ for a.e. hypersurface S in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and, in particular, for a.e. sphere $S_r := S(x_0, r)$ centered at a prescribed point $x_0 \in \overline{D}$, see Theorem 2.11 in [22] or Theorem 9.1 in [31]. Thus, by Corollary 4 in [25] $\mathcal{A}_{S_r^*}(f(B_0)) = 0$ as well as $\mathcal{A}_{S_r^*}(f(B_*)) = 0$ for a.e. S_r where $S_r^* = f(S_r)$.

Let Γ be the family of all intersections of the spheres S_r, $r \in (\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0)$, $\varepsilon_0 < d_0 = \sup\limits_{x\in D} |x - x_0|$, with the domain D. Given $\varrho_* \in \operatorname{adm} f(\Gamma)$ such that $\varrho_* \equiv 0$ outside of $f(D)$, set $\varrho \equiv 0$ outside of D and on $D \setminus B$ and, moreover,

$$\varrho(x) := \Lambda(x) \cdot \varrho_*(f(x)) \qquad \text{for } x \in B$$

where

$$\Lambda(x) \;=\; [\; J_f(x) \cdot P_I(x, f)\;]^{\frac{1}{n}} \;=\; \left[\; \frac{\det f'(x)}{l(f'(x))}\; \right]^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \;=$$

$$= \; [\; \lambda_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot \lambda_n\;]^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \;\geqslant\; [\; J_{n-1}(x)\;]^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \;;$$

here as usual $\lambda_n \geqslant \ldots \geqslant \lambda_1$ are principal dilatation coefficients of $f'(x)$, see, e.g., Section I.4.1 in [44], and $J_{n-1}(x)$ is the $(n-1)-$dimensional Jacobian of $f|_{S_r}$ at x, see Section 3.2.1 in [8].

Arguing piecewise on B_l, $l = 1, 2, \ldots$, and taking into account Kirszbraun's theorem, by Theorem 3.2.5 on the change of variables in [8], we have that

$$\int\limits_{S_r} \varrho^{n-1}\, d\mathcal{A} \geqslant \int\limits_{S_*^r} \varrho_*^{n-1}\, d\mathcal{A} \geqslant 1$$

for a.e. S_r and, thus, $\varrho \in \operatorname{ext} \operatorname{adm} \Gamma$.

The change of variables on each B_l, $l = 1, 2, \ldots$, see again Theorem 3.2.5 in [8], and countable additivity of integrals give also the estimate

$$\int\limits_D \frac{\varrho^n(x)}{P_I(x)}\, dm(x) \leqslant \int\limits_{f(D)} \varrho_*^n(x)\, dm(x)$$

and the proof is complete. $\Box$

Corollary 8.1. *Each homeomorphism f with finite distortion in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geqslant 3$, of the class $W^{1,p}_{\rm loc}$ for $p > n-1$ is a lower Q-homeomorphism at every point $x_0 \in \overline{D}$ with $Q = P_I$.*

Combining the latter and Proposition 8.1, we come to the following.

Corollary 8.2. *Each homeomorphism f of the class $W^{1,1}_{\rm loc}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geqslant 3$, with $K_O \in L^q_{\rm loc}$ for some $q > n-1$ is a lower Q-homeomorphism at every point $x_0 \in \overline{D}$ with $Q = P_I$.*

By Proposition 2.2, we have also the following statement from Lemma 8.1.

Proposition 8.2. *Let $f : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geqslant 3$, be a homeomorphism with $K_I \in L^1_{loc}$ in $W^{1,\varphi}_{\rm loc}$ where $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is a nondecreasing function such that*

$$\int\limits_{t_*}^{\infty} \left[\frac{t}{\varphi(t)}\right]^{\frac{1}{n-2}} dt < \infty\,. \tag{8.16}$$

Then f is a ring Q-homeomorphism at every point $x_0 \in \overline{D}$ with $Q = K_I$.

Corollary 8.3. *Each homeomorphism f of the class $W^{1,1}_{\rm loc}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geqslant 3$, with $K_I \in L^1_{loc}$ and $K_O \in L^q_{\rm loc}$ for some $q > n-1$ is a ring Q-homeomorphism at every point $x_0 \in \overline{D}$ with $Q = K_I$.*

Remark 8.1. By Remark 2.1 the conclusion of Proposition 8.2 and Corollary 8.3 is valid if K_I is integrable only on almost all spheres of small enough radii centered at x_0 assuming that the function K_I is extended by zero outside of D.

9 Boundary behavior of Orlicz–Sobolev classes

In this section we assume that $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is a nondecreasing function such that, for some $t_* \in \mathbb{R}^+$,

$$\int\limits_{t_*}^{\infty} \left[\frac{t}{\varphi(t)}\right]^{\frac{1}{n-2}} dt < \infty\,. \tag{9.1}$$

The continuous extension to the boundary of the inverse mappings has a simpler criterion than for the direct mappings. Hence we start from the first. Namely, in view of Lemma 8.1, we have the following consequence of Theorem 6.1.

Theorem 9.1. *Let D and D' be regular domains in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geqslant 3$ and let f be a homeomorphism of D onto D' in a class $W^{1,\varphi}_{\rm loc}$ with condition (9.1) and $K_I \in L^1(D)$. Then f^{-1} can be extended to a continuous mapping of $\overline{D'}_P$ onto $\overline{D}_P$.*

However, as it follows from the example in Proposition 6.3 in [31], any degree of integrability $K_I \in L^q(D)$, $q \in [1, \infty)$, cannot guarantee the extension by continuity to the boundary of the direct mappings.

By Lemma 8.1, we have also the following consequence of Theorem 7.1.

Theorem 9.2. *Let D and D' be regular domains in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geqslant 3$, and let $f : D \to D'$ be a homeomorphism of finite distortion in $W^{1,\varphi}_{\rm loc}$ with condition (9.1) such that*

$$\int\limits_0^{\delta(x_0)} \frac{dr}{||K_I||^{\frac{1}{n-1}}(x_0, r)} = \infty \qquad \forall\ x_0 \in \partial D \tag{9.2}$$

for some $\delta(x_0) \in (0, d(x_0))$ where $d(x_0) = \sup\limits_{x\in D} |x - x_0|$ and

$$||K_I||(x_0, r) = \int\limits_{D\cap S(x_0,r)} K_I^{n-1}(x, f)\ d\mathcal{A}\ .$$

Then f can be extended to a homeomorphism of $\overline{D}_P$ onto $\overline{D'}_P$.

In particular, as a consequence of Theorem 9.2, we obtain the following generalization of the well-known theorems of Gehring–Martio and Martio–Vuorinen on a homeomorphic extension to the boundary of quasiconformal mappings between QED domains, see [10] and [33].

Corollary 9.1. *Let D and D' be regular domains in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geqslant 3$, and let $f : D \to D'$ be a homeomorphism of finite distortion in the class $W^{1,p}_{\rm loc}$, $p > n-1$, in particular, a homeomorphism in $W^{1,1}_{\rm loc}$ with $K_O \in L^q_{\rm loc}$, $q > n-1$. If (9.2) holds, then f can be extended to a homeomorphism of $\overline{D}_P$ onto $\overline{D'}_P$.*

By Lemma 8.1, as a consequence of Lemma 7.1, we obtain the following general lemma.

Lemma 9.1. *Let D and D' be regular domains in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geqslant 3$, and let $f : D \to D'$ be a homeomorphism of finite distortion in $W^{1,\varphi}_{\rm loc}$ with condition (9.1) such that*

$$\int\limits_{D(x_0,\varepsilon,\varepsilon_0)} K_I(x,f)\cdot\psi^n_{x_0,\varepsilon}(|x-x_0|)\,dm(x) = o(I^n_{x_0}(\varepsilon)) \text{ as } \varepsilon\to 0\ \forall\ x_0\in\partial D \quad (9.3)$$

where $D(x_0,\varepsilon,\varepsilon_0) = \{x\in D : \varepsilon < |x-x_0| < \varepsilon_0\}$ for some $\varepsilon_0\in(0,\delta_0)$, $\delta_0 = \delta(x_0) = \sup_{x\in D}|x-x_0|$, and $\psi_{x_0,\varepsilon}(t)$ is a family of non-negative measurable (by Lebesgue) functions on $(0,\infty)$ such that

$$0 \ < \ I_{x_0}(\varepsilon) \ = \ \int\limits_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon_0}\psi_{x_0,\varepsilon}(t)\ dt \ < \ \infty \qquad \forall\ \varepsilon\in(0,\varepsilon_0)\ . \quad (9.4)$$

Then f can be extended to a homeomorphism of $\overline{D}_P$ onto $\overline{D'}_P$.

Choosing in Lemma 9.1 $\psi(t) = 1/(t\log 1/t)$ and applying Corollary 2.3 on FMO in [14], see also Corollary 6.3 in [31], we obtain the following result.

Theorem 9.3. *Let D and D' be regular domains in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n\geqslant 3$, and let $f : D\to D'$ be a homeomorphism in $W^{1,\varphi}_{\rm loc}$ with condition (9.1) such that*

$$K_I(x,f) \ \leqslant \ Q(x) \qquad \text{a.e. in } D \quad (9.5)$$

for a function $Q:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^n$, $Q\in {\rm FMO}(x_0)$ for all $x_0\in\partial D$. Then f can be extended to a homeomorphism of $\overline{D}_P$ onto $\overline{D'}_P$.

In the next consequences, we assume that $K_I(x,f)$ is extended by zero outside of D.

Corollary 9.2. *In particular, the conclusions of Theorem 9.3 hold if*

$$\overline{\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}}\ \fint_{B(x_0,\varepsilon)} K_I(x,f)\ dm(x) \ < \ \infty \qquad \forall\ x_0\in\partial D\ . \quad (9.6)$$

Similarly, choosing in Lemma 9.1 the function $\psi(t) = 1/t$, we come to the following more general statement.

Theorem 9.4. *Let D and D' be regular domains in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geqslant 3$, and let $f : D \to D'$ be a homeomorphism in $W^{1,\varphi}_{\rm loc}$ with condition (9.1) such that*

$$\int\limits_{\varepsilon<|x-x_0|<\varepsilon_0} K_I(x,f)\,\frac{dm(x)}{|x-x_0|^n} \;=\; o\left(\left[\log\frac{\varepsilon_0}{\varepsilon}\right]^n\right) \qquad \forall\; x_0\in\partial D \tag{9.7}$$

as $\varepsilon\to 0$ for some $\varepsilon_0\in(0,\delta_0)$ where $\delta_0=\delta(x_0)=\sup_{x\in D}|x-x_0|$. Then f can be extended to a homeomorphism of $\overline{D}_P$ onto $\overline{D'}_P$.

Corollary 9.3. *The condition (9.7) and the assertion of Theorem 9.4 hold if*

$$K_I(x,f) \;=\; o\left(\left[\log\frac{1}{|x-x_0|}\right]^{n-1}\right) \tag{9.8}$$

as $x\to x_0$. The same holds if

$$k_f(r)=o\left(\left[\log\frac{1}{r}\right]^{n-1}\right) \tag{9.9}$$

as $r\to 0$ where $k_f(r)$ is the mean value of the function $K_I(x,f)$ over the sphere $|x-x_0|=r$.

Remark 9.1. Choosing in Lemma 9.1 the function $\psi(t)=1/(t\log 1/t)$ instead of $\psi(t)=1/t$, we are able to replace (9.7) by

$$\int\limits_{\varepsilon<|x-x_0|<1} \frac{K_I(x,f)\,dm(x)}{\left(|x-x_0|\log\frac{1}{|x-x_0|}\right)^n}=o\left(\left[\log\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right]^n\right) \tag{9.10}$$

and (9.9) by

$$k_f(r)=o\left(\left[\log\frac{1}{r}\log\log\frac{1}{r}\right]^{n-1}\right). \tag{9.11}$$

Thus, it is sufficient to require that

$$k_f(r)=O\left(\left[\log\frac{1}{r}\right]^{n-1}\right) \tag{9.12}$$

In general, we could give here the whole scale of the corresponding conditions in terms of log using functions $\psi(t)$ of the form $1/(t\,\log\ldots\log 1/t)$.

Theorem 9.5. *Let D and D' be regular domains in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geqslant 3$, and let $f : D \to D'$ be a homeomorphism in $W^{1,\varphi}_{\rm loc}$ with condition (9.1) such that*

$$\int\limits_D \Phi(K_I(x, f))\, dm(x) \ < \ \infty \tag{9.13}$$

for a non-decreasing convex function $\Phi : \overline{\mathbb{R}^+} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}^+}$. If, for some $\delta > \Phi(0)$,

$$\int\limits_{\delta}^{\infty} \frac{d\tau}{\tau \left[\Phi^{-1}(\tau)\right]^{\frac{1}{n-1}}} = \infty \tag{9.14}$$

then f can be extended to a homeomorphism of $\overline{D}_P$ onto $\overline{D'}_P$.

Indeed, by Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 in [54], (9.13) and (9.14) imply (9.2) and, thus, Theorem 9.5 is a direct consequence of Theorem 9.2.

Corollary 9.4. *The conclusion of Theorem 9.5 holds if, for some $\alpha > 0$,*

$$\int\limits_D e^{\alpha K_I(x,f)}\, dm(x) \ < \ \infty \ . \tag{9.15}$$

Remark 9.2. Note that by Theorem 5.1 and Remark 5.1 in [23] the conditions (9.14) are not only sufficient but also necessary for continuous extension to the boundary of f with the integral constraint (9.13).

Recall that by Remark 7.3 the condition (9.14) is equivalent to each of the conditions (7.16)–(7.21) and, in particular, to the following condition

$$\int\limits_{\delta}^{\infty} \log\, \Phi(t)\, \frac{dt}{t^{n'}} = +\infty \tag{9.16}$$

for some $\delta > 0$ where $\frac{1}{n'} + \frac{1}{n} = 1$, i.e., $n' = 2$ for $n = 2$, n' is strictly decreasing in n and $n' = n/(n-1) \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$.

Finally, note that all these results hold, for instance, if $f \in W^{1,p}_{\rm loc}$, $p > n - 1$, and, in particular, if $f \in W^{1,1}_{\rm loc}$ and $K_O \in L^q_{\rm loc}$, $q > n - 1$. Moreover, the results can be extended to Riemannian manifolds, see, e.g., [2] and [26].

10 On finitely bi–Lipschitz mappings

Given an open set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geqslant 2$, following Section 5 in [22], see also Section 10.6 in [31], we say that a mapping $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is **finitely bi-Lipschitz** if

$$0 \ < \ l(x, f) \ \leqslant \ L(x, f) \ < \ \infty \qquad \forall \, x \in \Omega \tag{10.1}$$

where

$$L(x, f) \ = \ \limsup_{y \to x} \ \frac{|f(y) - f(x)|}{|y - x|} \tag{10.2}$$

and

$$l(x, f) \ = \ \liminf_{y \to x} \ \frac{|f(y) - f(x)|}{|y - x|} \ , \tag{10.3}$$

cf. Section 4 above for the definition of bi-Lipschitz mappings.

By the classic Stepanov theorem, see [59], see also [29], we obtain from the right hand inequality in (10.1) that finitely bi-Lipschitz mappings are differentiable a.e. and from the left hand inequality in (10.1) that $J_f(x) \neq 0$ a.e. Moreover, such mappings have $(N)-$property with respect to each Hausdorff measure, see, e.g., either Lemma 5.3 in [22] or Lemma 10.6 [31]. Thus, the proof of the following lemma is perfectly similar to one of Lemma 8.1 and hence we omit it, cf. also similar but weaker Corollary 5.15 in [22] and Corollary 10.10 in [31].

Lemma 10.1. *Every finitely bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geqslant 2$, is a lower Q-homeomorphism with $Q = P_I$.*

By Proposition 2.2, we have also the following statement from Lemma 10.1.

Proposition 10.1. *Every finitely bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geqslant 2$, with $K_I \in L^1_{loc}$ is a ring Q-homeomorphism at each point $x_0 \in \overline{D}$ with $Q = K_I$.*

Remark 10.1. By Remark 2.1 the conclusion of Proposition 10.1 is valid if K_I is integrable only on almost all spheres of small enough radii centered at x_0 assuming that the function K_I is extended by zero outside of D.

Corollary 10.1. *All results on lower $Q-$homeomorphisms in Sections 5, 6 and 7 are valid for finitely bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, with $Q = P_I$.*

All these results for finitely bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms are perfectly similar to the corresponding results for homeomorphisms with finite distortion in the Orlich–Sobolev classes from Section 9. Hence we will not formulate all them in the explicit form here in terms of inner dilatation K_I.

We give here for instance only one of these results.

Theorem 10.1. *Let D and D' be regular domains in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geqslant 2$, and let $f : D \to D'$ be a finitely bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism such that*

$$\int\limits_D \Phi(K_I(x, f))\, dm(x) \ < \ \infty \tag{10.4}$$

for a non-decreasing convex function $\Phi : \overline{\mathbb{R}^+} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}^+}$. If, for some $\delta > \Phi(0)$,

$$\int\limits_{\delta}^{\infty} \frac{d\tau}{\tau \left[\Phi^{-1}(\tau)\right]^{\frac{1}{n-1}}} = \infty \tag{10.5}$$

then f can be extended to a homeomorphism of $\overline{D}_P$ onto $\overline{D'}_P$.

Corollary 10.2. *The conclusion of Theorem 10.1 holds if, for some $\alpha > 0$,*

$$\int\limits_D e^{\alpha K_I(x,f)}\, dm(x) \ < \ \infty \ . \tag{10.6}$$

References

[1] Adamowicza T., Björna A., Björna J., Shanmugalingamb N. Prime ends for domains in metric spaces // Advances in Mathematics. – 2013. – **238**. – P. 459 – 505.

[2] Afanas'eva E., Ryazanov V., Salimov R. On the mappings in the Orlicz–Sobolev classes on the Riemannian manifolds // Ukr. Mat. Visn. – 2011. – **8**. – P. 319–342 [in Russian]; transl. in J. Math. Sci. – 2012. – **181**. – P. 1–17.

[3] Birnbaum Z., Orlicz W. Über die Verallgemeinerungen des Begriffes der zueinauder konjugierten Potenzen // Studia. Math. – 1931. – **3**. – P. 1–67.

[4] Calderon A.P. On the differentiability of absolutely continuous functions // Riv. Math. Univ. Parma. – 1951. – **2**. – P. 203–213.

[5] Caratheodory C. Über die Begrenzung der einfachzusammenhängender Gebiete // Math. Ann. – 1913. – **73**. – P. 323 – 370.

[6] Chiarenza F., Frasca M., Longo P. $W^{2,p}$-solvability of the Dirichlet problem for non-divergence elliptic equations with VMO coefficients // Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. – 1993. – **336**, no. 2. – P. 841–853.

[7] Collingwood E. F., Lohwator A.J. The Theory of Cluster Sets. – Cambridge Tracts in Math. and Math. Physics **56**. – Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press, 1966.

[8] Federer H. Geometric Measure Theory. – Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1969.

[9] Gehring F.W. Rings and quasiconformal mappings in space // Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. – 1962. – **103**, no. 3. – P. 353 – 393.

[10] Gehring F.W., Martio O. Quasiextremal distance domains and extension of quasiconformal mappings // J. Anal. Math. – 1985. – **45**. – P. 181–206.

[11] Goluzin G. M. Geometric Theory of Functions of a Complex Variable. – Transl. of Math. Monographs **26**. – Providence: AMS, 1969.

[12] Gutlyanskii V., Ryazanov V., Srebro U., Yakubov E. The Beltrami Equations: A Geometric Approach. – Developments in Math. **26**. – New York etc.: Springer, 2012.

[13] Heinonen J., Kilpelainen T., Martio O. Nonlinear Potential Theory of Degenerate Elliptic Equations. – Oxford-New York-Tokio: Clarendon Press, 1993.

[14] Ignat'ev A., Ryazanov V. Finite mean oscillation in the mapping theory // Ukr. Mat. Vis. – 2005. – **2**, no. 3. – P. 395–417, 443 [in Russian]; transl. in Ukr. Math. Bull. – 2005. – **2**, no. 3. – P. 403–424, 443.

[15] Iwaniec T., Martin G. Geometric function theory and non-linear analysis. – Oxford: Oxford Univ. Press, 2001.

[16] Iwaniec T., Sbordone C. Riesz transforms and elliptic PDEs with VMO coefficients // J. Anal. Math. – 1998. – **74**. – P. 183–212.

[17] Iwaniec T., Sverak V. On mappings with integrable dilatation // Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. – 1993. – **118**. – P. 181–188.

[18] Kovtonyuk D., Petkov I., Ryazanov V. On the boundary behaviour of solutions to the Beltrami equations // Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations. – 2013. – **58**, no. 5. – P. 647 – 663.

[19] Kovtonyuk D.A., Petkov I.V., Ryazanov V.I., Salimov R.R. Boundary behavior and Dirichlet problem for Beltrami equations // Algebra and Analysis. - 2013. - **25**, no. 4. - P. 101-124 [in Russian]; transl in St. Petersburg Math. J. - 2014. - **25**. - P. 587- 603.

[20] Kovtonyuk D.A., Ryazanov V.I. On boundaries of space domains // Proc. Inst. Appl. Math. & Mech. NAS of Ukraine. – 2006. – **13**. – P. 110 – 120.

[21] Kovtonyuk D.A., Ryazanov V.I. Toward the theory of lower Q-homeomorphisms // Ukr. Mat. Visn. – 2008. – **5**, no. 2. – P. 159 – 184 [in Russian]; transl. in Ukrainian Math. Bull. – 2008. – **5**, no. 2. – P. 157 – 181.

[22] Kovtonyuk D., Ryazanov V. On the theory of mappings with finite area distortion // J. Anal. Math. – 2008. – **104**. – P. 291–306.

[23] Kovtonyuk D., Ryazanov V. On the boundary behavior of generalized quasi-isometries // J. Anal. Math. – 2011. – **115**. – P. 103–119.

[24] Kovtonyuk D.A., Ryazanov V.I., Salimov R.R., Sevost'yanov E.A. On mappings in the Orlicz-Sobolev classes // Ann. Univ. Bucharest, Ser. Math - 2012. - **3(LXI)**, no. 1. - P. 67-78.

[25] Kovtonyuk D.A., Ryazanov V.I., Salimov R.R., Sevost'yanov E.A. Toward the theory of classes of Orlicz–Sobolev // Algebra and Analysis. – 2013. – **25**, no. 6. – P. 49 – 101 [in Russian]; transl in St. Petersburg Math. J.

[26] Kovtonyuk D.A., Salimov R.R., Sevost'yanov E.A. (ed. Ryazanov V.I.) Toward the Theory of Mappings in Classes of Sobolev and Orlicz–Sobolev. – Kiev: Naukova dumka, 2013 [in Russian].

[27] Lindelöf E. Sur un principe general de l'analyse et ses applications a la theorie de la representation conforme // Acta Soci. Sci. Fenn. – 1915. – **46**, no. 4. – P. 1 – 35.

[28] Lomako T.V. On extension to the boundary of some generalizations of quasiconformal mappings // Ukr. Mat. Zh. – 2009. – **61**(10). –P. 1329–1337 [in Russian]; transl. in Ukr. Math. J. – 2009. – **63**(10). – P. 1568–1577.

[29] Maly J. A simple proof of the Stepanov theorem on differentiability alsmost everywhere // Exposition Math. – 1999. – **17**. – P. 59–61.

[30] Martio O., Rickman S., Vaisala J. Definitions for quasiregular mappings // Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A1. Math. – 1969. – **448**. – P. 1–40.

[31] Martio O., Ryazanov V., Srebro U., Yakubov E. Moduli in Modern Mapping Theory. – Springer Monographs in Mathematics. – New York etc.: Springer, 2009.

[32] Martio O., Ryazanov V., Vuorinen M. BMO and Injectivity of Space Quasiregular Mappings // Math. Nachr. – 1999. – **205**. – P. 149–161.

[33] Martio O., Vuorinen M. Whitney cubes, p-capacity and Minkowski content // Expo. Math. – 1987. – **5**. – P. 17–40.

[34] Mazurkiewicz S. Über die Definition der Primenden // Fund. Math. – 1936. – **26**. – P. 272 – 279.

[35] Maz'ya V. Sobolev Spaces. – Leningrad: Leningrad. Univ., 1985; transl. in Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1985.

[36] Näkki R. Prime ends and quasiconformal mappings // J. Anal. Math. – 1979. – **35**. – P. 13 – 40.

[37] Ohtsuka M. Extremal Length and Precise Functions. – Tokyo: Gakkotosho Co., 2003.

[38] Orlicz W. Über eine gewisse Klasse von Räumen vom Typus B // Bull. Intern. de l'Acad. Pol. Serie A, Cracovie. – 1932.

[39] Orlicz W. Über Räume (L^M) // Bull. Intern. de l'Acad. Pol. Serie A, Cracovie. – 1936.

[40] Palagachev D.K. Quasilinear elliptic equations with VMO coefficients // Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. – 1995. – **347**, no. 7. – P. 2481–2493.

[41] Rado T., Reichelderfer P.V. Continuous Transformations in Analysis. – Berlin etc.: Springer, 1955.

[42] Ragusa M.A. Elliptic boundary value problem in vanishing mean oscillation hypothesis // Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. – 1999. – **40**, no. 4. – P. 651–663.

[43] Reimann H.M., Rychener T. Funktionen Beschränkter Mittlerer Oscillation. – Lecture Notes in Math. **487**. – Berlin etc.: Springer–Verlag, 1975.

[44] Reshetnyak Yu.G. Space mappings with bounded distortion. – Novosibirsk: Nauka, 1982; transl. in Translations of Mathematical Monographs, **73**. – Providence, RI: Amer. Math. Soc., 1988.

[45] Rickman S. Quasiregular Mappings. – Berlin etc.: Springer–Verlag, 1993.

[46] Ryazanov V.I., Salimov R.R. Weakly flat spaces and bondaries in the mapping theory // Ukr. Mat. Vis. – 2007. – **4**, № 2. – P. 199 – 234 [in Russian]; transl. in Ukrainian Math. Bull. – 2007. – **4**, no. 2. – P. 199 – 233.

[47] Ryazanov V., Salimov R., Srebro U., Yakubov E. On Boundary Value Problems for the Beltrami Equations // Contemporary Math. - 2013. - **591**. - P. 211-242.

[48] Ryazanov V., Sevost'yanov E. Equicontinuons classes of ring Q-homeomorphisms // Sibirsk. Math. Zh. - 2007. - **48**, № 6. - P. 1361–1376 [in Russian]; transl. in Siberian Math. J. - 2007. - **48**, no. 6. - P. 1093–1105.

[49] Ryazanov V., Sevost'yanov E. Equicontinuity of mappings quasiconformal in the mean // Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. – 2011. – **36**. – P. 231 – 244.

[50] Ryazanov V., Srebro U., Yakubov E. On ring solutions of Beltrami equation // J. Anal. Math. – 2005. – **96**. – P. 117–150.

[51] Ryazanov V., Srebro U., Yakubov E. To strong ring solutions of the Beltrami equations // Uzbek. Math. J. – 2009. – No. 1. – P. 127–137.

[52] Ryazanov V., Srebro U., Yakubov E. On strong solutions of the Beltrami equations // Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. – 2010. – **55**, no. 1–3. – P. 219–236.

[53] Ryazanov V., Srebro U., Yakubov E. Integral conditions in the theory of the Beltrami equations // Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. – 2012. – **57**, no. 12. – P. 1247–1270.

[54] Ryazanov V., Srebro U., Yakubov E. On integral conditions in the mapping theory // Ukrainian Math. Bull. – 2010. – **7**, no. 1. – P. 73-87.

[55] Saks S. Theory of the Integral. – New York: Dover Publications Inc., 1964.

[56] Sarason D. Functions of vanishing mean oscillation // Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. – 1975. – **207**. – P. 391–405.

[57] Shlyk V.A. On the equality between p-capacity and p-modulus // Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. – 1993. – **34**, no. 6. – P. 216 – 221 [in Russian]; transl. in Siberian Math. J. – 1993. – **34**, no. 6. – P. 1196 – 1200.

[58] Sobolev S.L. Applications of functional analysis in mathematical physics. – Leningrad: Izdat. Gos. Univ., 1950; transl. in Providence, R.I.: Amer. Math. Soc., 1963.

[59] Stepanov W. Sur les conditions de l'existence de la differentielle totale // Mat. Sb. – 1925. – **32**. – P. 511–526.

[60] Ursell H.D., Young L.C. Remarks on the theory of prime ends // Memoirs of the AMS. – 1951. – **3**. – 29 pp.

[61] Vasil'ev A. Moduli of Families of Curves for Conformal and Quasiconformal Mappings. – Lecture Notes in Math. **1788**. – Berlin etc.: Springer–Verlag, 2002.

[62] Väisälä J. Lectures on n-Dimensional Quasiconformal Mappings. – Lecture Notes in Math. **229**. – Berlin etc.: Springer–Verlag, 1971.

[63] Vodop'yanov S. Mappings with bounded distortion and with finite distortion on Carnot groups // Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. – 1999. – **40**, no. 4. – P. 764–804; transl. in Siberian Math. J. – 1999. — **40**, no. 4. – P. 644–677.

[64] Vuorinen M. Conformal Geometry and Quasiregular Mappings. – Lecture Notes in Math. **1319**. – Berlin etc.: Springer–Verlag, 1988.

[65] Whyburn G.Th. Analytic Topology. – Providence: AMS, 1942.

[66] Wilder R.L. Topology of Manifolds. – New York: AMS, 1949.

[67] Zaanen A.C. Linear analysis. – Noordhoff (1953).

[68] Ziemer W.P. Extremal length and conformal capacity // Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. – 1967. – **126**, no. 3. – P. 460 – 473.

Denis Kovtonyuk and Vladimir Ryazanov,
Institute of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics,
National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine,
74 Roze Luxemburg Str., Donetsk, 83114, Ukraine,
denis_kovtonyuk@bk.ru, vl.ryazanov1@gmail.com